

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,900	SUDDUTH-KLINGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James Martinell	1634	

All Participants:

(1) James Martinell.

(2) Ms. Tsao.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
All

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Ms. Tsao authorized the examiner's Amendment cancelling non-elected claims 1-5 and 13.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/James Martinell/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)